

DRH Template enhancement (Feb 22, 2008 at Beijing)

Handouts


DRH Template ver.6-1 (draft for a minor revision)

DRH Template ver.6-1 Guideline for writers (draft)

DRH Template ver.6 (for reference)

Deciding the extent of descriptions:

“How much in detail should the writers Describe?”



the writers should be promised “flexibility”
(the template should send such message to the writers)

<Two major changes>

1. Creation of the section “**Message from the proposer**” for the writers to explain their intention of the “Descriptions” (p.4)
2. Improvement of the options for the section “**Self evaluation in regard to applicability**” (p.5)

<Other small changes>

- Indication of URL for definitions of IOT, PT, and TIK (p.1)
- Rearrangement of the items (cells) in the section “Contact information” for clearer differentiation between proposers and developers (p.3)
- Improvements of expressions for item names and instructions (p.1-5)

<Points of explanation>

- Notes regarding “Title” and “Major significance” (p.1)
- How to check categories: main and sub checkboxes in “Anticipated users” (p.1)
- The distinction between proposers and developers (p.3)
- Points for writing “Descriptions” (p.3) and “Resources required” (p.4)*
- How to make use of the section “Message from the proposer” (p.4)
- How to fill in “Application examples” (p.5)*

* A distinction between “Descriptions” + “Resources required” and “Application examples” is implicated: generalized info (when generalization is possible and appropriate) vs. case reports